



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/659,247	09/10/2003	Jace N. Green	025636-0109 4809		
26371	7590 01/26/2005		EXAMINER .		
FOLEY &	LARDNER	LU, JIPING			
777 EAST V SUITE 3800	VISCONSIN AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILWAUK	EE, WI 53202-5308	•	3749		
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	4.0			
Office Action Summary		10/659,24	17	GREEN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jiping Lu		3749				
 Period for	Th MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	n app ars on th	cov rsh t with th	correspond nce addre	ess			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory put to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even on. a reply within the state period will apply and wi statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) da Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commedities ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	01 November 2	204					
		This action is n	·					
	Since this application is in condition for all		osecution as to the m	nerits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) 13-20 is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 and 21-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from cor						
Applicatio	on Papers							
9)[T	he specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
A	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·		*	• •			
		ic Examiner. 140	ne the attached Office	c Action of form 1 10	- 102.			
_	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)_ 1 2	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docured Copies of the priority docured Copies of the priority docured Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buste the attached detailed Office action for a comparison of the attached detailed Office action	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National St	age			
Attachment(s)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🛛 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/10/2003</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Pate Patent Application (PTO-1)	52)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Invention I, claims 1-12 and 21-23 in the reply filed on 11/1/2004 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 13-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/1/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hamilton (U. S. Pat. 2,805,559).

Hamilton shows an evaporative cooler comprising a housing 10, a fan (in 25), a duct system 27, 37 for directing air into an opening in a building (see col. 3, lines 30-33) which are arranged same as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/659,247

Art Unit: 3749

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)

and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamilton (U.

S. Pat. 2,805,559) in view of Galeazzi et al. (EP 0718564A2).

The evaporative cooler of Hamilton as above includes all that is recited in claims 2-8 except for the duct system with an extension portion that is positioned within the window of the building. Galeazzi teaches a portable air conditioning equipment with a duct system 17 having an extension portion 18 positioned within the opening in the window same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the duct system of Hamilton with a duct system having extension portion of Galeazzi et al. in order to direct air into the window and to facilitate the use of evaporative cooler.

Page 4

8. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamilton (U.S. Pat. 2,805,559) in view of Galeazzi et al. (EP 0718564A2) as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Campbell et al. (U. S. Pat. 4,819,448) or Cavender (U. S. Pat. 6,546,744).

The evaporative cooler of Hamilton as modified by Galeazzi et al. as above includes all that is recited in claim 9 except for the adjustable legs. Patent to Campbell et al. or Cavender teaches an evaporative cooler with adjustable legs for leveling the cooler same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the evaporative cooler of Hamilton with adjustable legs as taught by Campbell et al. or Cavender in order to facilitate the installation of the evaporative cooler.

Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamilton 9. (U. S. Pat. 2,805,559) in view of Galeazzi et al. (EP 0718564A2) and Campbell et al. (U. S. Pat. 4,819,448) or Cavender (U. S. Pat. 6,546,744) as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Grandinetti (U. S. Pat. 2,620,638).

The evaporative cooler of Hamilton as modified by Galeazzi et al. and Campbell et al. or Cavender as above includes all that is recited in claims 10-12 except for the expandable frame between the window and the extension member. Patent to Grandinetti teaches an evaporative cooler with expandable frame 111 for mounting duct member to the window same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the evaporative cooler of Hamilton with expandable frame as taught by Grandinetti in order to facilitate mounting the extension member to the window.

Art Unit: 3749

10. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamilton (U. S. Pat. 2,805,559) in view of Campbell et al. (U. S. Pat. 4,819,448) or Cavender (U. S. Pat. 6,546,744).

Hamilton shows an evaporative cooler comprising a housing 10, a fan (in 25), a duct system 26, 27, 37 for directing air into an opening in a building (see col. 3, lines 30-33) which are arranged same as claimed. The evaporative cooler of Hamilton includes all that is recited in claims 21-23 except for the adjustable legs. Patent to Campbell et al. or Cavender teaches an evaporative cooler with adjustable legs for leveling the cooler same as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the evaporative cooler of Hamilton with adjustable legs as taught by Campbell et al. or Cavender in order to facilitate the installation of the evaporative cooler.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jiping Lu whose telephone number is 571 272 4878. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 571 272-4877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,247

Art Unit: 3749

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3749

J. L.